### 20947 -



NOV 5 - 1998

BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT NO. 508 OF COOK County of Cook and State of Illinois

AND STATE OF ILLINOIS

# RESOLUTION REVISED RESOURCES ALLOCATION MANAGEMENT PLAN (RAMP) FISCAL YEAR 2000 (Amend Board Report #20727 Adopted 7-2-1998)

WHEREAS, the Illinois Community College Board (ICCB) requires that each community college district provide a Resources Allocation Management Plan (RAMP) each year and the Capital Project requirements of this report has been completed for Fiscal Year 2000; and

**WHEREAS**, the ICCB requires a certification that the governing board has reviewed and approved the RAMP report capital projects;

**THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Board of Trustees hereby approves the revised Capital Project requests for Resources Allocation Management Plan for Fiscal Year 2000 for the City Colleges of Chicago.

# 20947 -

#### CITY COLLEGES OF CHICAGO CAPITAL PROJECTS REQUESTS FOR FY2000 FUNDING

PRIORITY	PROJECT / COLLEGE	ESTIMATED BUDGET	REQUEST FROM STATE	CCC MATCHING FUNDS
1.	Workforce Preparation Centers, All Colleges	\$5,148,000	\$3,861,000	\$1,287,000
2.	Allied Health Center Malcolm X College	\$5,893,000	\$4,419,750	\$1,473,250
3.	Industrial Technology Center Daley College	\$17,069,000	\$12,801,750	\$4,267,250
4.	ADA Retrofit Work All Colleges	\$2,628,000	\$1,971,000	\$657,000
5.	Building Renovation, all floors Harold Washington College	\$21,731,000	\$16,298,250	\$5,432,750
6.	Renovation of Parking Lot, Auditorium and Building Ceiling and Lighting Wright College South- Campus	\$4,214,000	\$3,160,500	\$1,053,500
7.	New Campus Kennedy-King College	\$242,277,000	\$181,708,000	\$60,569,000
	Total	\$298,960,000	\$224,220,250	\$74,739,750

## 20947

#### **BOARD OF TRUSTEES MATCHING FUNDS COMMITMENT**

I hereby certify that the Board of Trustees of Community College, District # 508, meeting in their regular session on November 5, 1998, with a quorum present, officially authorized the submission of the attached Fiscal Year 2000 Ramp Community College Capital Project Request.

- I certify that the board reviewed and approved the attached programmatic justification scope of work, and related forms for the project identified below.
- I further certify that board has made a commitment to either use available assets and/or credits, or to make local funds available for the project requested as indicated below, should the project be approved.

PROJECT NAME: New Campus, Kennedy-King College

#### Proposal Source(s) of Local Funding

	TOTAL LOCAL MATCH	\$ 60,569,250
6.	Other (Please specify)	\$
	(remaining from 1987)	\$
5.	State Certified Construction Credits	
4.	Other Debt Issue	\$
3.	Protection, Health, and Safety Bond Proceeds	\$
2.	Protection, Health, and Safety Tax Levy	\$
1.	Available Local Fund Balance	\$

Signed

Signed

cutive Officer of the College District

### 20947 n

#### PROGRAMMATIC JUSTIFICATION NARRATIVE

DISTRICT/COLLEGE: 50001 Kennedy-King College PROJECT NAME: New Campus - Kennedy-King College

#### **Executive Summary**

Kennedy-King College facilities need to be replaced. The existing facilities are dysfunctional, inefficient and they are unable to meet the programmatic needs of Kennedy-King College or its community. Nor can the facilities be efficiently modified to meet the future needs of the students.

The Chin Report as well as a recent feasibility study performed by Johnson and Lee for the Chicago Public Building Commission, has confirmed that over \$30.3 million dollars in remedial work must be performed in order to just make the facilities operational for the next several years. Despite the enormous capital expenditures, Kennedy-King College facilities cannot be made into cost efficient facilities, or facilities that can provide the students with a safe environment that is conducive to learning. Additionally, the existing facilities are made of pre-cast concrete and cannot efficiently be modified to meet the space and technical requirements of new program. The facility systems and layout makes it nearly impossible to operate efficiently.

In view of the significant deficiencies with the existing buildings comprising Kennedy-King College, the Chicago Public Building Commission, ordered a preliminary feasibility study be performed of the existing facility and that a recommendation be made for the future of the facility.

The preliminary feasibility study confirmed that Kennedy-King College is in desperate need of major remedial work and it is necessary to make significant expenditures to make the facility operational and that despite the millions of dollars in repairs, the College's existing facilities cannot be made into an efficient facility that meets the programmatic needs of Kennedy-King College.

The preliminary feasibility study considered alternative sites for the construction of a new campus. Several sites were evaluated based on the following criteria:

- Functional Efficiency
- Availability of Utilities

- Public Transportation
- Housing Displacement
- Business Displacement
- Infrastructure
- Phasing Implementation
- Retail Opportunities
- Secure Exterior Space

Based on this criteria, it was determined that a site located at 63<sup>rd</sup> and Halsted Business District best met the need of Kennedy-King College. The facility would be bordered on the north by the Green Line Rapid Transit line. This site is divided in the north-south direction by south Halsted Street. The campus would extend south to 66<sup>th</sup> Street and would be part of a redevelopment plan that would envelope the entire business district. The campus would have eight buildings that will be positioned to meet all of Kennedy-King College requirements.

It is recommended that instead of spending over \$30.3 millions in repairing the existing facilities that a new campus be built that is cost efficient and that meets the needs of Kennedy-King College's student body, community and business partners.

Funds are being requested for the acquisition of the land and building of a new home for the Kennedy-King College family.

### 20947

#### Background

Kennedy-King was opened to service the community approximately 26 years ago. During its life it has served hundred of thousands of students to achieve their educational goals. Its primary mission is to provide affordable, high-quality, comprehensive education and training opportunity to Chicago residents, contributing to the development of a self-sufficient citizenry and an adaptable, skilled workforce. The colleges seeks to maintain in all programs the key characteristics of accessibility, comprehensive, flexibility, excellence and accountability as determined by the current needs of the community, industry, and the available resources.

In addition, CCC also plays a focal point in the development of the community surrounding Kennedy-King College. Kennedy-King College plays an integral part in the lives of the community.

Kennedy-King College serves one of the most needed communities in the City of Chicago. A significant percentage of the families in this community are below the poverty level some earning under \$5,000 annually. A significant number of parents cannot read or write. The community has one of the highest unemployment rates in the city, a great number of children come from single parent families and it has one of the highest crime rates in the City of Chicago. It is the responsibility and moral obligation of this institution to provide this community with the educational resources to make a change in their quality of lives.

#### Its Services

Kennedy-King College offers course work in the following areas:

- College Transfer Education
- Career Education
- Pre-Credit/Remedial Education
- Continuing Education/Vocational Skills

KK also serves as the home for the Katherine Duham Theater, it has a child development center, television stations and WKKC-FM radio station. It also houses a One Stop Shop and an alternative high school program.

#### Its Accomplishments

Kennedy-King College is accredited by the North Central Association of Colleges and Schools and approved by the Illinois Community College Board and the

Illinois Office of Education Department of Adult, Vocational, and Technical Education. The Department of Registration and Education of the State of Illinois approves the real estate and nursing programs. Kennedy-King College is recognized by the State Approving Agency for Veterans Education as well as the federal Veterans Administration for the training of veterans. The Child Development Center day care facility is licensed by the Department of Children and Family Services, State of Illinois.

In addition to the thousand of students that it serves, it also serves the business community, economic development of the community and the resources for the community. A partnership with the Chicago Public Schools was developed to provide a direct access for high school students to attend college.

#### Existing Facility

Kennedy-King College was built in 1972. The college was not built with the flexibility to be adapted to the changing educational needs. As a result, it has become very expensive to adapt the building to new educational programs. In addition, the facility has many structural deficiencies that are exhausting the financial and human resources of the district. Due to solid concrete structure, it has become ever more difficult and cost prohibited to make retrofits to meet ADA requirement and the installation of new technology. Recently the Chin Report identified deficiencies in infrastructure for the building to be operational.

The building requires significant capital expenditures to just make it inhabitable without improving either the operational efficiency or the quality of education. The recent Chin Report stated that \$17,550,418 is required for remedial work on the facility. Additionally, \$577,773 is required for Capital Improvement Projects. Kennedy-King College ranks the highest in terms of remedial work for any facility in the system at \$26.05/sf. Additionally, the Chin Report states in its "Contingency for Anticipated Latent Conditions" section, that \$4,999,657 should be earmarked for wide spread damage to the building by significant water infiltration. The total amount of remedial work totaled \$23127848. This would increase the cost/sf. to \$32.35 thereby, making KKC the most costly of all facilities over 150,000 sf.

Not included in the aforementioned figure is the chiller system. It is being repaired at a cost of approximately \$900,000. This repair should keep it operational for the next five years. In five years the entire system will have to be replaced at an estimated cost of \$4,782,000. Additionally, the Chin Report also recommends the

replacement of the entire roof at a cost of \$1,500,000.

Since major facility elements have not been replaced in the 26-year history of the facility, major expenditures will be necessary for the duration of its operational life of these buildings. Following these expenditures, the existing facility will still be inefficient in terms of space utilization, energy consumption and will not be appropriately configured to meet the future program requirements of Kennedy-King College. This was recently confirmed by a preliminary feasibility study requested by PBC and performed by Johnson & Lee, Ltd.

#### Why a New Facility

It is not only financially the best option, but it is also a moral obligation to provide the students in this community with a safe environment in which to learn and to provide them with the opportunity that other students in the City of Chicago have to excel in their life. The College enrolled an average of almost 20,000 students annually from Fiscal Years 1993 through 1997. Capacity to serve more students is diminishing as the number of habitable rooms in the existing facility shrinks due to deterioration and dysfunctional systems.

Building a new facility will also provide economic development to the community. A new campus will also serve as a catalyst to revitalize the business community. A new facility can be designed with a much needed increase in capacity to support adoption of continual advancements in technology. This will enable the College to provide students with the skills required by employers, and to provide state-of-the-art customized training through business partnerships. The new facility will provide the faculty and staff with the physical resources to meet the challenges of the new millennium and to provide the students with the education that they deserve and need.

#### Conclusion

Kennedy-King College's mission is to provide high quality, comprehensive, accessible education and training opportunities that respond to changing community needs that enable individuals to reach their full potential and successfully compete in the global marketplace. Kennedy-King College has also adopted the philosophy of the Illinois Master Plan for Higher Education and the Illinois Public Community College Act, which is to accept all eligible students and to provide them with an education appropriate to their needs that will allow them to achieve the kind of economic, cultural, and social life they desire.

To achieve the mission of the college and be able to provide high school students with high quality education and services, and in order for the campus to play a major integral part of the development of the community; it is essential that the faculty and staff be provided with a facility that is efficient, meets the programmatic needs, provides the students with a safe environment that is conducive to learning, that is able to provide services that are necessary to students and generally available to students at other community colleges.

The facilities should also allow enough flexibility to include and readily adapt changes in high technology equipment, both in training programs and in college operations. This is vital in order for the college to equip all students with the skills demanded by a changing job market, and to continue and expand viable, training partnerships that meet business and industry's customized training needs.

Kennedy-King family of students, faculty, staff and business partners urges that funds be appropriated as soon as possible so that residents of its communities can have an opportunity to be educated and to excel in their lives.

### 20947 1

#### SCOPE OF WORK NARRATIVE

DISTRICT/COLLEGE: 50801

PROJECT NAME: New Campus, Kennedy-King College

Funds are being requested for the acquisition of approximately 32 acres of land; as well as for demolishing existing buildings and to performed the required site work. Funds are also being requested to erect and equip approximately eight building to house Kennedy-King College.

A preliminary facility study has been performed of a proposed site for the new campus. However a complete plan needs to be performed for the building of a new campus for Kennedy-King College. Funds also being requested for the planning of the new facility.

60,569

#### TABLE 1 FISCAL YEAR 2000 CAPITAL PROJECT REQUEST

DISTRICT/COLLEGE:		5080	1									
PROJECT NAME AND/OR DESCRIPTION		New Campus, Ke	nnedy-King College		<b></b>			DISTRICT PRIOR	HTY NUMBER	5	_ OUT OF	7
Check one: NEW FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION/ACQUISIT	ION	x	_(Complete Table :	2)				Check one: NEW REQUEST		x	_	
REMODELING/REHABILITATION PROJECT			(Complete Table :	2)				REQUESTED PR	EVIOUSLY	***************************************	_	
OTHER			_(Complete Table :	2 or provide addition	ial information per i	nstructions)						
Dollars in thousands				<del></del>								
PROJECT	STATE	LOCAL	ONG.	STATE	RRENT REQUEST	TOTAL	STATE	LOCAL		STATE	OTAL PROJECT CO	
CATEGORIES'	FUNDS	FUNDS	Ed. COST	FUNDS	FUNDS	COST	FUNDS	FUNDS	COST	FUNDS	FUNDSEA	COST
BLDGS, ADDITIONS, AND/OR STRUCTURES			111		38,445	153,778					提出	
LAND			2	1	11,039				5 10 10 10 0 10 10 10 0 10 10 10 0		K	
EQUIPMENT			2000	8,487	2,829				411 1 . 0		An .	
UTILITIES		<u> </u>	4	4,400	1,467			l	16.00	1 . V. W		
REMODELING & REHABILITATION												
SITE IMPROVEMENTS			भूति । जन्म प्रश्नास । 0	9,496	3,165				9			
PLANNING			Harrison O	10,875	3,625	14,500)			i ko	A VAND		1
TOTAL	Salisania o		5.	181,708	60,569	4 242 277		0	<b>""</b>	o.		
* <u>Describe prior year funding and/or future ye</u> State funds should equal 75% of total and loc												209
TOTAL PROJECT REQUEST (CURRENT YEAR	)	\$	\$181,708					MATCHING CONT	RIBUTION	\$	60,569	4
TOTAL COMPLETED PROJECT COST		<u> </u>	\$242,277					LOCAL FINANCIN	G SOURCE			~
DESIRED PROJECT START DATE			Dec-01					AVAILABLE FUND	BALANCE	\$	<del></del>	•
ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE			Dec-05					ICCB CONSTRUCT		\$		•
ESTIMATED OCCUPANCY DATE		i.	Dec-05					DEBT ISSUE DATE OF APPRO	(if any) OVAL:	\$		
ESTIMATED ANNUAL OPERATING COST		\$										

OTHER (please specify)

TOTAL

# TABLE 2 SUMMARY OF REQUESTED SPACE AND/OR ACREAGE

PART A PART B Number of Acres **NET ASSIGNABLE SQUARE FOOTAGE SUMMARY ACREAGE SUMMARY** Requested in Budget Year REQUEST FOR NEW REDISTRIBUTION OF NASF SPACE **FACILITIES** 1 Landscaped Ground Space Prior Net Assignable Space After Space Type **FICM Codes** Square Feet (NASF) to Remodeling Remodeling 2 Physical Education and Athletic Fields 110 thru 115 3 Buildings and Attached Structures Classrooms 4 Experimental Plots Laboratory 210 thru 255 Office 5 Other Instructional Areas 310 thru 355 6 Parking Lots Study 410 thru 455 7 Roadways Special Use 510 thru 590 General Use: 8 Pond Retention and Drainage Assembly and Exhibition 610 thru 625 Other General Use 9 Other (specify) 630 thru 685 Support Facilities 710 - 765 **Total Assigned Area Currently Unassigned** Health Care 810 - 895 **Total Acres** Unclassified 26.04 **TOTAL NASF #** TOTAL GSF\* # 719,805

COMPLETE THE APPLICABLE SECTION-ONE SHEET FOR EACH PROJECT REQUESTED

<sup>\*</sup>Gross Square Feet

<sup>\*\*</sup> The area requirements are estimates

# TABLE 3 BUILDING BUDGET ESTIMATION FORM

District/College	50801		_			
Location	Kennedy-King	College	-			
roject Name	New Campus,	Kennedy-King Col	lege			
in thousands of dolla	ars)					
Space Type		NASF	Multiplier Factor	GSF	\$/GSF	Cast
nstructional Space				719805	163.5	\$117,688.1
				a		
1. Base Total						\$117.688.1
2. Added Costs						\$1,605.2
3. Base Cost						\$119,293.3
4. Escalation (Us	e 3.0 percent r	er year, .25 percen	it permonth	from base bio	to bid date.)	\$12,525.8
	ed Bid Date	Dec-01		Months to Bi		2
5. Escalated Buildin	ıg Budget	(Line 3 plus Line 4)	)		-	\$131,819.1
6. Escalated Buildin	ng Budget					
Plus 10% (	Contingency	(Line 5 multiplied b	y 1.10)			\$145,001.0
7. Adds:						
a. A/E Fee	s <u>5</u>	%				\$7,250.0
	Observation mber of Month	s <u>46</u>	Days per	Manth		\$439.7
c, Reimbu	rsable Expense	es, 5% of A/E				\$362.5
d. Art in A	rchitecture					
one-ha	if of one perce	nt (Multiply Line	6 by .005)			\$725.0
e. Other A	dds	(ADA, Asbes	tos, etc. spe	cify)		0
	f. Sub-total	Adds (Lines 7a thro	ough 7e)			\$8,777.2
8. Total Building B	udget	(Line 6 plus Line 1	7f)			\$153,778.2
OTHER:						
Estim	ate of Annual S	tate Supported Ope	erations and	Maintenance	Expense	
Source of Cost Es		Johnson & Lee, L June 1998	td & New Wi	right College o	construction expe	enses

## TABLE 4 MOVEABLE EQUIPMENT LIST

District/College:

50801

Project Name:

New Campus, Kennedy King College

Programmatic Unit	Room Use Classification	Name of Equipment	Number of Units	Cost per Unit	Estimated Total Cost
Kennedy-King College	All	Furnishing, Computing, office & movab	719805	15.72	\$11,315.3
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
ř					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
Total					\$11,315.3

<sup>\*</sup> The equipment estimate is based on the equipment expenses for New Wright College prorated and escalated for inflation.

#### PROGRAMMATIC JUSTIFICATION NARRATIVE

DISTRICT/COLLEGE: 50001 Kennedy-King College PROJECT NAME: New Campus - Kennedy-King College

#### **Executive Summary**

Kennedy-King College facilities need to be replaced. The existing facilities are dysfunctional, inefficient and they are unable to meet the programmatic needs of Kennedy-King College or its community. Nor can the facilities be efficiently modified to meet the future needs of the students.

The Chin Report as well as a recent feasibility study performed by Johnson and Lee for the Chicago Public Building Commission, has confirmed that over \$30.3 million dollars in remedial work must be performed in order to just make the facilities operational for the next several years. Despite the enormous capital expenditures, Kennedy-King College facilities cannot be made into cost efficient facilities, or facilities that can provide the students with a safe environment that is conducive to learning. Additionally, the existing facilities are made of pre-cast concrete and cannot efficiently be modified to meet the space and technical requirements of new program. The facility systems and layout makes it nearly impossible to operate efficiently.

In view of the significant deficiencies with the existing buildings comprising Kennedy-King College, the Chicago Public Building Commission, ordered a preliminary feasibility study be performed of the existing facility and that a recommendation be made for the future of the facility.

The preliminary feasibility study confirmed that Kennedy-King College is in desperate need of major remedial work and it is necessary to make significant expenditures to make the facility operational and that despite the millions of dollars in repairs, the College's existing facilities cannot be made into an efficient facility that meets the programmatic needs of Kennedy-King College.

The preliminary feasibility study considered alternative sites for the construction of a new campus. Several sites were evaluated based on the following criteria:

- Functional Efficiency
- Availability of Utilities

- Public Transportation
- Housing Displacement
- Business Displacement
- Infrastructure
- Phasing Implementation
- Retail Opportunities
- Secure Exterior Space

Based on this criteria, it was determined that a site located at 63<sup>rd</sup> and Halsted Business District best met the need of Kennedy-King College. The facility would be bordered on the north by the Green Line Rapid Transit line. This site is divided in the north-south direction by south Halsted Street. The campus would extend south to 66<sup>th</sup> Street and would be part of a redevelopment plan that would envelope the entire business district. The campus would have eight buildings that will be positioned to meet all of Kennedy-King College requirements.

It is recommended that instead of spending over \$30.3 millions in repairing the existing facilities that a new campus be built that is cost efficient and that meets the needs of Kennedy-King College's student body, community and business partners.

Funds are being requested for the acquisition of the land and building of a new home for the Kennedy-King College family.

#### **Background**

Kennedy-King was opened to service the community approximately 26 years ago. During its life it has served hundred of thousands of students to achieve their educational goals. Its primary mission is to provide affordable, high-quality, comprehensive education and training opportunity to Chicago residents, contributing to the development of a self-sufficient citizenry and an adaptable, skilled workforce. The colleges seeks to maintain in all programs the key characteristics of accessibility, comprehensive, flexibility, excellence and accountability as determined by the current needs of the community, industry, and the available resources.

In addition, CCC also plays a focal point in the development of the community surrounding Kennedy-King College. Kennedy-King College plays an integral part in the lives of the community.

Kennedy-King College serves one of the most needed communities in the City of Chicago. A significant percentage of the families in this community are below the poverty level some earning under \$5,000 annually. A significant number of parents cannot read or write. The community has one of the highest unemployment rates in the city, a great number of children come from single parent families and it has one of the highest crime rates in the City of Chicago. It is the responsibility and moral obligation of this institution to provide this community with the educational resources to make a change in their quality of lives.

#### Its Services

Kennedy-King College offers course work in the following areas:

- College Transfer Education
- Career Education
- Pre-Credit/Remedial Education
- Continuing Education/Vocational Skills

KK also serves as the home for the Katherine Duham Theater, it has a child development center, television stations and WKKC-FM radio station. It also houses a One Stop Shop and an alternative high school program.

#### Its Accomplishments

Kennedy-King College is accredited by the North Central Association of Colleges and Schools and approved by the Illinois Community College Board and the

Illinois Office of Education Department of Adult, Vocational, and Technical Education. The Department of Registration and Education of the State of Illinois approves the real estate and nursing programs. Kennedy-King College is recognized by the State Approving Agency for Veterans Education as well as the federal Veterans Administration for the training of veterans. The Child Development Center day care facility is licensed by the Department of Children and Family Services, State of Illinois.

In addition to the thousand of students that it serves, it also serves the business community, economic development of the community and the resources for the community. A partnership with the Chicago Public Schools was developed to provide a direct access for high school students to attend college.

#### **Existing Facility**

Kennedy-King College was built in 1972. The college was not built with the flexibility to be adapted to the changing educational needs. As a result, it has become very expensive to adapt the building to new educational programs. In addition, the facility has many structural deficiencies that are exhausting the financial and human resources of the district. Due to solid concrete structure, it has become ever more difficult and cost prohibited to make retrofits to meet ADA requirement and the installation of new technology. Recently the Chin Report identified deficiencies in infrastructure for the building to be operational.

The building requires significant capital expenditures to just make it inhabitable without improving either the operational efficiency or the quality of education. The recent Chin Report stated that \$17,550,418 is required for remedial work on the facility. Additionally, \$577,773 is required for Capital Improvement Projects. Kennedy-King College ranks the highest in terms of remedial work for any facility in the system at \$26.05/sf. Additionally, the Chin Report states in its "Contingency for Anticipated Latent Conditions" section, that \$4,999,657 should be earmarked for wide spread damage to the building by significant water infiltration. The total amount of remedial work totaled \$23127848. This would increase the cost/sf. to \$32.35 thereby, making KKC the most costly of all facilities over 150,000 sf.

Not included in the aforementioned figure is the chiller system. It is being repaired at a cost of approximately \$900,000. This repair should keep it operational for the next five years. In five years the entire system will have to be replaced at an estimated cost of \$4,782,000. Additionally, the Chin Report also recommends the

replacement of the entire roof at a cost of \$1,500,000.

Since major facility elements have not been replaced in the 26-year history of the facility, major expenditures will be necessary for the duration of its operational life of these buildings. Following these expenditures, the existing facility will still be inefficient in terms of space utilization, energy consumption and will not be appropriately configured to meet the future program requirements of Kennedy-King College. This was recently confirmed by a preliminary feasibility study requested by PBC and performed by Johnson & Lee, Ltd.

#### Why a New Facility

It is not only financially the best option, but it is also a moral obligation to provide the students in this community with a safe environment in which to learn and to provide them with the opportunity that other students in the City of Chicago have to excel in their life. The College enrolled an average of almost 20,000 students annually from Fiscal Years 1993 through 1997. Capacity to serve more students is diminishing as the number of habitable rooms in the existing facility shrinks due to deterioration and dysfunctional systems.

Building a new facility will also provide economic development to the community. A new campus will also serve as a catalyst to revitalize the business community. A new facility can be designed with a much needed increase in capacity to support adoption of continual advancements in technology. This will enable the College to provide students with the skills required by employers, and to provide state-of-the-art customized training through business partnerships. The new facility will provide the faculty and staff with the physical resources to meet the challenges of the new millennium and to provide the students with the education that they deserve and need.

#### Conclusion

Kennedy-King College's mission is to provide high quality, comprehensive, accessible education and training opportunities that respond to changing community needs that enable individuals to reach their full potential and successfully compete in the global marketplace. Kennedy-King College has also adopted the philosophy of the Illinois Master Plan for Higher Education and the Illinois Public Community College Act, which is to accept all eligible students and to provide them with an education appropriate to their needs that will allow them to achieve the kind of economic, cultural, and social life they desire.

To achieve the mission of the college and be able to provide high school students with high quality education and services, and in order for the campus to play a major integral part of the development of the community; it is essential that the faculty and staff be provided with a facility that is efficient, meets the programmatic needs, provides the students with a safe environment that is conducive to learning, that is able to provide services that are necessary to students and generally available to students at other community colleges.

The facilities should also allow enough flexibility to include and readily adapt changes in high technology equipment, both in training programs and in college operations. This is vital in order for the college to equip all students with the skills demanded by a changing job market, and to continue and expand viable, training partnerships that meet business and industry's customized training needs.

Kennedy-King family of students, faculty, staff and business partners urges that funds be appropriated as soon as possible so that residents of its communities can have an opportunity to be educated and to excel in their lives.

#### SCOPE OF WORK NARRATIVE

DISTRICT/COLLEGE: 50801

PROJECT NAME: New Campus, Kennedy-King College

Funds are being requested for the acquisition of approximately 32 acres of land; as well as for demolishing existing buildings and to performed the required site work. Funds are also being requested to erect and equip approximately eight building to house Kennedy-King College.

A preliminary facility study has been performed of a proposed site for the new campus. However a complete plan needs to be performed for the building of a new campus for Kennedy-King College. Funds also being requested for the planning of the new facility.

# TABLE 1 FISCAL YEAR 2000 CAPITAL PROJECT REQUEST

DISTRICT/COLLEGE:		5080	<u> </u>		-							
PROJECT NAME AND/OR DESCRIPTION		New Campus, Ke	nnedy-King College		-			DISTRICT PRIOF	RITY NUMBER	5	_ OUT OF	7
Check one:								Check one:				
NEW FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION/ACQUISIT	ION	<u>x</u>	_(Complete Table 2)	•				NEW REQUEST		X	_	
REMODELING/REHABILITATION PROJECT			_(Completa Table 2)					REQUESTED PR	EVIOUSLY		_	
OTHER			_{Complete Table 2	or provide addition	al information per in	structions)						
Dollars in thousands												
	PR	IOR YEARS FUND	ING.	CUF	RENT REQUEST	FY	BE	YOND CURRENT Y	EAR*	T	OTAL PROJECT C	osr Ser
PROJECT	STATE	LOCAL	C TOTAL	STATE	LOCAL	TOTAL	STATE	LOCAL	TOTAL	STATE	LOCALIST	MOTOTAL
CATEGORIES*	FUNDS	FUNDS	A F. COST	FUNDS	FUNDS	COST A	FUNDS	FUNDS	COST	FUNDS	FUNDSILL	COST
BLDGS, ADDITIONS, AND/OR STRUCTURES			3	115,334	38,445	153,778				Ô		
LAND			7 0	33,116	11,039	44,155			5 16 M.7.			
EQUIPMENT			10	8,487	2,829	3.11			10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		V.	
UTILITIES			1.0	4,400	1,467	v 5 <b>8</b> 66			16.20	)   产品 : 於漢漢		
REMODELING & REHABILITATION			At MANO									E V.
SITE IMPROVEMENTS			Mil 1- 0	9,496	3,165	4 12 662				1 3 4 1 2		
PLANNING			Security Sec	10,875	3,625	14,500)						
TOTAL	1 3 3 4 0			161,708	60,569	1 242 217		0				<b>企</b> 立。
Describe prior year funding and/or future ye Sate funds should equal 75% of total and loc												
sale funds should equal 15% of total and for	ar runus snould et	10a1 1376 UI 101a1.	ICCS WIN AUJUST TO	L/40/13								
OTAL PROJECT REQUEST (CURRENT YEAR)		<u>s</u>	\$181,708					MATCHING CONT	RIBUTION	\$	60,569	
OTAL COMPLETED PROJECT COST	-	\$	\$242,277					LOCAL FINANCIN	G SOURCE			
ESIRED PROJECT START DATE	_		Dec-01					AVAILABLE FUND	BALANCE	3	~- <del></del>	
STIMATED COMPLETION DATE	-	<del></del>	Dec-05					ICCB CONSTRUCT	TION CREDITS (if eay)	\$	·	
STIMATED OCCUPANCY DATE	-	6	Dec-05					DEBT ISSUE DATE OF APPRI		<u>\$</u>		
STIMATED ANNUAL OPERATING COST	ال ا	<u> </u>										
								OTHER (please spe	ecify)	<u>\$</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
								TOTAL		\$	60,569	

COMPLETE ONE SHEET FOR EACH PROJECT REQUESTED

# TABLE 2 SUMMARY OF REQUESTED SPACE AND/OR ACREAGE

**PART A** 

PART B

		NET ASSIGNAE	BLE SQUARE FOOT	AGE SUMMARY	ACREAGE SUMMARY	Number of Acres Requested in Budget Year
		REQUEST FOR NEW FACILITIES	REDISTRIBUTION	OF NASF SPACE		
		Net Assignable	Space Prior	Space After	1 Landscaped Ground	5
Space Type	FICM Codes	Square Feet (NASF)	to Remodeling	Remodeling	2 Physical Education and Athletic Fields	5
Classrooms	110 thru 115				3 Buildings and Attached Structures	6
Laboratory	210 thru 255				4 Experimental Plots	
Office	310 thru 355				5 Other Instructional Areas	
Study	410 thru 455				6 Parking Lots	6
Special Use	510 thru 590				7 Roadways	
General Use: Assembly and Exhibition	610 thru 625				8 Pond Retention and Drainage	
Other General Use	630 thru 685				9 Other (specify)	
Support Facilities	710 - 765	į			Total Assigned Area	21
Health Care	810 - 895	-			Currently Unassigned	5
Unclassified					Total Acres	26.04
			<del></del>			
TOTAL NASF #		0	0	0		
TOTAL GSF* #		719,805				

<sup>\*</sup>Gross Square Feet

COMPLETE THE APPLICABLE SECTION-ONE SHEET FOR EACH PROJECT REQUESTED

<sup>\*\*</sup> The area requirements are estimates

# TABLE 3 BUILDING BUDGET ESTIMATION FORM

. District/College

50801

Location	Kennedy-King		_			
Project Name	New Campus.	Kennedy-King Co	illege			
:_ <b></b>	W					
in thousands of doi	lars)					
			Multiplier			
Soace Type		NASF	Factor	GSF	\$/GSF	Cost
Instructional Space				719805	163.5	\$117,688.1
				٥		
		_				
1. Base Total						\$117,688.1
2. Added Costs						\$1,605.2
3. Base Cost				<del></del>	<u> </u>	\$119,293.3
4. Escalation (Us	se 3.0 percent p	er year, .25 perce	nt per month	from base bid	d to bid date.)	\$12,525.8
,	ted Bid Date	Dec-01 (Line 3 plus Line 4	Number of	Months to Bi	d Date	\$131,819.1
5. Escalated Buildi	ng Budget		Number of		d Oate 42	\$131,819.1 \$145,001.0
Escalated Buildi     Escalated Buildi	ng Budget	(Line 3 plus Line 4	Number of		d Oate 42	
<ol> <li>Escalated Buildi</li> <li>Escalated Buildi</li> <li>Plus 10%</li> <li>Adds:</li> </ol>	ng Budget	(Line 3 plus Line 4	Number of		d Date 42	
5. Escalated Buildi 6. Escalated Buildi Plus 10% 7. Adds: a. A/E Fee b. On-Site	ng Budget ng Budget Contingency	(Line 3 plus Line 4 (Line 5 multiplied b	Number of	Months to Bi		\$145,001.0
5. Escalated Buildi 6. Escalated Buildi Plus 10% 7. Adds: a. A/E Fee b. On-Site	ng Budget ng Budget Contingency	(Line 3 plus Line 4 (Line 5 muttiplied t	Number of	Months to Bi		\$145,001.0 \$7.250.0
5. Escalated Buildi 6. Escalated Buildi Plus 10% 7. Adds: a. A/E Fee b. On-Site	ng Budget  ng Budget  Contingency  as	(Line 3 plus Line 4 (Line 5 muttiplied t	Number of	Months to Bi		\$145,001.0 \$7.250.0 \$439.7
5. Escalated Buildi 6. Escalated Buildi Plus 10% 7. Adds:  a. A/E Fee b. On-Site Nu c. Reimbu d. Art in A	ng Budget  ng Budget  Contingency  25  Observation umber of Months	(Line 3 plus Line 4 (Line 5 multiplied b) % 48 s, 5% of A/E	Number of )  by 1.10)  Days per M	Months to Bi		\$145,001.0 \$7,250.0 \$439.7
5. Escalated Buildi 6. Escalated Buildi Plus 10% 7. Adds:  a. A/E Fee b. On-Site Nu c. Reimbu d. Art in A	ng Budget ng Budget Contingency as	(Line 3 plus Line 4 (Line 5 multiplied b  48 s, 5% of A/E	Number of  by 1.10)  Days per M  c 6 by .005)	Months to Bi		\$145,001.0 \$7,250.0 \$439.7 \$362.5
5. Escalated Buildi 6. Escalated Buildi Plus 10% 7. Adds:  a. A/E Fee b. On-Site Nu c. Reimbu d. Art in A	ng Budget  ng Budget  Contingency  S 5  Observation umber of Months  resable Expense unchitecture alf of one percer	(Line 3 plus Line 4 (Line 5 multiplied b  48 s, 5% of A/E	Number of  by 1.10)  Days per M  6 by .005)  stos, etc. spec	Months to Bi		\$145,001.0 \$7,250.0 \$439.7 \$362.5
5. Escalated Buildi 6. Escalated Buildi Plus 10% 7. Adds:  a. A/E Fee b. On-Site Nu c. Reimbu d. Art in A	ng Budget ng Budget Contingency as	(Line 3 plus Line 4 (Line 5 multiplied b  48 s, 5% of A/E  t (Multiply Line (ADA, Asbes	Number of  by 1.10)  Days per M  6 by .005)  tos, etc. spec	Months to Bi		\$145,001.0 \$7,250.0 \$439.7 \$362.5 \$725.0
5. Escalated Buildi 6. Escalated Buildi Plus 10% 7. Adds:  a. A/E Fee b. On-Site Nu c. Reimbu d. Art in A one-h.	ng Budget ng Budget Contingency as	(Line 3 plus Line 4 (Line 5 multiplied b  48 s, 5% of A/E  11 (Multiply Line 12 (ADA, Asbesteds)  13 (Lines 7a through)	Number of  by 1.10)  Days per M  6 by .005)  tos, etc. spec	Months to Bi		\$145,001.0 \$7.250.0 \$439.7 \$362.5 \$725.0 0
5. Escalated Buildi 6. Escalated Buildi Plus 10% 7. Adds:  a. A/E Fee b. On-Site Nu c. Reimbu d. Art in A one-h e. Other A  8. Total Building B OTHER:	ng Budget ng Budget Contingency es	(Line 3 plus Line 4 (Line 5 multiplied b  48 s, 5% of A/E  11 (Multiply Line 12 (ADA, Asbesteds)  13 (Lines 7a through)	Number of  (a)  (b)  (c)  (c)  (d)  (d)  (d)  (d)  (d)  (d	Months to Bi	22	\$145,001.0 \$7.250.0 \$439.7 \$362.5 \$725.0 0

## TABLE 4 MOVEABLE EQUIPMENT LIST

District/College:

50801

Project Name:

New Campus, Kennedy-King College

Programmatic Unit	Room Use Classification	Name of Equipment	Number of Units	Cost per Unit	Estimated Total Cost
Kennedy-King College	All	Furnishing, Computing, office & movab	719805	15.72	\$11,315.3
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
í					\$0.0
					\$0.0
					\$0.0
Total .					\$11,315.3

<sup>\*</sup> The equipment estimate is based on the equipment expenses for New Wright College prorated and escalated for inflation.